G

(W)

(3)

STANFORD UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE Department of Genetics

AUG 1 3 1969

Dr. Glenn Seaborg Chairman, AEC

Dear Dr. Seaborg:

I hope it is possible for this to reach your early attention. article is intended for publication in the Washington Post next Saturday: if I am far off the beam, and had a call from you about it, I would gladly pull it back. I did make an honest effort at fair appraisal of the situation, and I would have to share some responsibility for not haveng looked-more closely at it sooner.

In fact I was quite surprised that Luning's rather inconclusive work has been the only confrontation with quantitative measurements of genetic hazards from Sr-90. I was astonished not to find any studies on mutation yield, say in bacteria; and I called Ed Lewis, who reminded me that no-one would have seen any merit in doing anything but emitted radiation calculations for effects of a bone-seeker. So he never advocated such a thing for Drosophila.

However, Miller points out that the measured radioactivity in testis. in a Lining-style experiment is larger than calculated, and attributes this to the localization of Y-90 therein. I have seen some studies on Y-90 distribution, and find it is somewhat concentrated in pituitary, liver, The autoradiographs were not and gonads, especially ovarian follicles. reproduced well enough for me to tell whether there is nuclear localization of the Y-90 in follicle cells -- but there is some hint of it. I found nothing on the complexing of DNA with yttrium, but you will admit this is not chemically impliausible.

While I am on the general subject, I want to bring to your attention that the natural processes of DNA repair probably do not operate at full efficiency in every individual, so that a small part of the population must bear a disproportionate part of the radiation hazard. I point to the most explicit case -- homozygotes for xeroderma pigmentosum -- as an extreme example of a genetic lack of repair enzymes; we are bound to find other kinds of mutants with intermediate competence; and we have to wonder about interactions with repair-enzyme-inhibitors (caffeine?) and with virus infections that may interact with chromosome breaks. So I am morally certain we are so far seeing only the tipe of the iceberg in radiation effects on human biology. We can properly use the existing background, and its fluctuations, as one standard for "safe" r-doses: this would be 10 times lower than the standard "doubling dose" now advocated as a standard, and has very little application to internal decay as from C-14, H-3 or ? Y-90.

Enes: Signitice Refuences.

P.S. Need I reassure you that my scientific

Professor of Genetics correspondence is off the record.

LT. J. P. KENNEDY, JR. LABORATORIES FOR MOLECULAR MEDICINE, DEDICATED TO RESEARCH IN MENTAL RETARDATION

Yours sincerely.

Joshua Lederberg

DEVELOPMENTAL MEDICINE

S

EABORG

1 despotorward forseción forecon storbaletano el manto.